1	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP SARAH G. FLANAGAN 70845	
2	sarah.flanagan@pillsburylaw.com ROBERT J. NOLAN 235738	
3	robert.nolan@pillsburylaw.com 50 Fremont Street	
4	Post Office Box 7880 San Francisco, CA 94120-7880	
5	Telephone: (415) 983-1000	
6	Facsimile: (415) 983-1200	
7	Attorneys for Defendants STANFORD UNIVERSITY and MAIA YOUNG	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
12)	
13	SOREN ANDERSEN,) No. C-07-03766	
	Plaintiff, NOTICE OF NEED FOR ADR PHO)NE
14) <u>CONFERENCE; REQUEST TO</u> vs.) <u>DEFER ADR PHONE CONFEREN</u>	CE
15 16 17	MAIA YOUNG, an individual; STANFORD) UNIVERSITY, a business entity unknown; and DOES 1-100, inclusive,	
	Defendant.	
18	,	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

- 1 -

. 1	On September 25, 2007, plaintiff SOREN ANDERSEN, proceeding pro se, served
2	on defendants MAIA YOUNG and STANFORD UNIVERSITY a Notice of Need for ADR
3	Conference, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Although plaintiff had not yet
4	met and conferred with counsel for defendants as required by ADR Local Rule 3-5(a), the
5	Proof of Service attached to the notice stated, in pertinent part:
6	notice of need for ADR phone Conference, 1 page. Signed and completed
7	on behalf of plaintiff on 9-25-07. provided to defendants to be signed and completed on behalf of defendants, and then by defendants filed and faxed to
8	ADR on behalf of the parties.
9	Ex. A. On September 29, 2007, defense counsel informed plaintiff by email, a copy of
10	which is attached hereto as Exhibit B, that ADR Local Rule 3-5(a) required the parties to
11	meet and confer to attempt to agree to an ADR process. Plaintiff refused to meet and
12	confer, and refused to stipulate to a particular form of ADR. See Ex. B. Instead, he
13	threatened to notify the Court and the ADR Unit if defense counsel did not sign and file the
14	Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. Id.
15	Due to plaintiff's ongoing refusal to meet and confer to attempt to agree to an ADR
16	process or to stipulate to ADR, and the pendency of the motion to dismiss, defendants
17	believe that an ADR phone conference may be appropriate, but not at this time. Plaintiff's
18	First Amended Complaint is based upon claims that were already rejected by the California
19	trial and appellate courts. Defendants have moved to dismiss plaintiff's First Amended
20	Complaint on multiple grounds, including that his claims are barred because they are based
21	on claims already decided in prior state court proceedings. A hearing on defendants'
22	motion is set for November 19, 2007. On September 6, 2007, by clerk's notice, the Court
23	vacated the Case Management Conference pending a ruling on defendants' motion to
24	dismiss. Ex. C.
25	Defendants are willing to engage in ADR, but believe that deciding on a particular
26	form of ADR prior to a ruling on the motion to dismiss would be premature. Defendants
27	respectfully request that the ADR Phone Conference be deferred until after defendants'

-2-

1	motion to dismiss has been decided.	Defendants submit a Notice of Need for ADR Phone
2	Conference, attached hereto as Exhib	pit D.
3	Dated: October 8, 2007.	
4		PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLF
5		SARAH G. FLANAGAN ROBERT J. NOLAN
6		50 Fremont Street Post Office Box 7880
7		San Francisco, CA 94120-7880
8		
9		By
10		Attorneys for Defendants STANFORD UNIVERSITY and MAIA YOUNG
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25.		
26		
27	,	
28		

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EN ANDERSEN		
72. 1. (100.)	CASE NO. C 07-03	5766 JW
Plaintiff(s),		
ν.	NOTICE OF NEE CONFERENCE	ED FOR ADR PHONE
A YOUNG, NFORD UNIVERSITY, DOESI-100	001,1 5.45.105	
Defendant(s),		
Counsel report that they have met an	d conferred regarding AD	R and that they:
✓ have not yet reached an agree✓ request an Early Settlement C	-	ate Judge
Date of Case Management Conferen	ce 11/19/2007	- .
The following counsel will participate in the	ADR phone conference:	
Name Party Representing	Phone No.	E-Mail Address
SOREN ANDERSEN PRO SE	(650) 452-6180	CALI@MAIL.MD
·		
	The state of the s	
Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR Local Rule telephone conference with a member of the Conference. The ADR Unit (adr@cand.uscaphone conference.	ADR Legal Staff before th	ne Case Management
Dated: 09/25/2007	-S At	gren Anderser torney for Plaintiff
Dated:		Homay for Defendant
Rev 12.05	At	ttorney for Defendant
NEY 12.00		

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address)	TELEPHONE NUMBE	R FOR	COURT USE	ONLY
SOREN ANDERSEN	650-452-618	30		
POSTBOKS 1146				
DK-1010 COPENHAGEN K	Ref. No. or Fil	e No.		
DENMARK				
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF				
Insert name of court and name of judicial district and br	anch if any.			
District Court Northern District, San	Jose			
280 S First St #2112 San Jose CA 95113				
SHORT TITLE OF CASE:				
SOREN ANDERSEN v MAIA YOUNG, STANFORD U	NIVER. D1-100	ľ		
INVOICE NO. DATE: TI	ME:	DEP./DIV.	CASE NUMBER:	
574261			C0703766J	`AT

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I AM A CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES AND EMPLOYED IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES STATE OF CALIFORNIA. I AM AND WAS ON THE DATES HEREIN MENTIONED, OVER THE AGE OF EIGHTEEN YEARS AND NOT A PARTY TO THE ACTION.

ON 09/25/07, I SERVED THE WITHIN:

notice of need for ADR phone Conference, 1 page. Signed and completed on behalf of plantiff on 9-25-07. provided to defendants to be signed and completed on behalf of defendants, and then by defendants filed and faxed to ADR on behalf of the parties

ON THE PLAINTIFF, IN SAID ACTION BY PLACING A TRUE COPY THEREOF ENCLOSED IN A SEALED ENVELOPE WITH POSTAGE THEREON PRE-PAID FOR FIRST CLASS IN THE UNITED STATES MAIL AT: SAN FRANCISCO , CALIFORNIA, ADDRESSED AS FOLLOWS:

JASON A. CATZ

PILLSBURY WINTHROP P O BOX 7880 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120

DECLARANT: MARK L. SCHWARTZ

RAPID LEGAL INC 1199 MONTEREY PASS ROAD MONTEREY PARK, CA 91754 323-526-7300 FAX 323-526-7377

- d. Registered California process server
- (1) [X] Employee or [] Independent Contractor
- (2) Registration No. 902
- (3) County: SANTA CLARA
- (4) Expiration: 12/30/07

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and coffeet

DATE: 09/25/07

EXHIBIT B

Nolan, Robert J.

From:

Soren Andersen [cali@mail.md]

Sent: To:

Tuesday, October 02, 2007 11:59 AM Nolan, Robert J.; Flanagan, Sarah G.

Cc:

cali@mail.md

Subject:

Re: Andersen v. Young, 07-03766 JW

Dear Mr. Nolan et al.,

Plaintiff provided you with the signed form "Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference" and the parties have met and conferred.

The parties have not reached an agreement to an ADR process and request an early settlement conference, as stated in the provided and signed "Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference".

Please sign and file the Notice of Need for ADR Phone conference, and remember to also send or fax (number 415 522-4112) it to the ADR unit.

Should you refuse to sign and file the document and notify the ADR unit before the deadline stated in the July 23, 2007 order, Plaintiff will promptly notify the court and the ADR unit hereof.

Dated: October 2, 2007

By: Soren Andersen Plaintiff in pro se Case: C 07-03766 JW

Postboks 1146, DK-1010 Copenhagen K, Denmark.

```
>----Original Message-----
>From: Nolan, Robert J. [mailto:robert.nolan@pillsburylaw.com]
>Sent: Monday, October 1, 2007 04:39 PM
>To: 'Soren Andersen'
>Cc: 'Flanagan, Sarah G.', 'Catz, Jason A.'
>Subject: RE: Andersen v. Young, 07-03766 JW
>Mr. Andersen:
>Although your response is less than clear, we take it to mean that you
>refuse to meet and confer and refuse to stipulate to an ADR process.
>Very truly yours,
>Robert J. Nolan | Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP
>Tel: 415.983.1864 | Fax: 415.983.1200 | Cell: 415.690.3263 50
>Fremont Street | San Francisco, CA 94105-2228
>Email: robert.nolan@pillsburylaw.com
>Bio: http://www.pillsburylaw.com/robert.nolan
>www.pillsburylaw.com
>----Original Message-----
>From: Soren Andersen [mailto:cali@mail.md]
>Sent: Saturday, September 29, 2007 3:13 AM
>To: Nolan, Robert J.; Flanagan, Sarah G.; Catz, Jason A.
>Cc: cali@mail.md
>Subject: Re: Andersen v. Young, 07-03766 JW
```

```
>Dear Mr. Nolan.
>The parties have not reached an agreement to an ADR process and request
>an early settlement conference, as stated in the provided and signed
>"Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference".
>Please sign and file the Notice of Need for ADR Phone conference, and
>remember to also send or fax (number 415 522-4112) it to the ADR unit.
>Dated: September 29, 2007
>Bv: Soren Andersen
>Plaintiff in pro se
>Case: C 07-03766 JW
>>----Original Message-----
>>From: Nolan, Robert J. [mailto:robert.nolan@pillsburylaw.com]
>>Sent: Saturday, September 29, 2007 12:39 AM
>>To: cali@mail.md
>>Cc: 'Flanagan, Sarah G.'
>>Subject: Andersen v. Young, 07-03766 JW
>>Mr. Andersen:
>>
>>On September 26, 2007, we received from you a Notice of Need for ADR
>>Phone Conference. The proof of service states that you provided the
>>Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference "to defendants to be signed
>>and
>>completed on behalf of defendants, and then by defendants filed and
>>faxed to ADR on behalf of the parties." ADR Local Rule 3-5 requires
>>the parties to meet and confer to attempt to agree to an ADR process.
>>ADR L.R. 3-5(a). We have not met and conferred to attempt to agree to
>>an ADR process, and do not intend to sign and file a Notice of Need
>>for
>>ADR Conference without first meeting and conferring as required by ADR
>>Local Rule 3-5. See ADR LR 3-5(a)&(c); see also Civ. L.R. 16-8.
>>
>>Please inform us whether you are willing to stipulate to a particular
>>ADR process, and, if so, what ADR process you propose.
>>
>>Very truly yours,
>>Robert J. Nolan | Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP
>>Tel: 415.983.1864 | Fax: 415.983.1200 | Cell: 415.690.3263 50
>>Fremont Street | San Francisco, CA 94105-2228
>>Email: robert.nolan@pillsburylaw.com
>><mailto:firstname.lastname@pillsburylaw.com>
>>Bio: http://www.pillsburylaw.com/robert.nolan
>><http://www.pillsburylaw.com/bv/bvisapi.dll/portal/ep/profDetail.do/bi
>>0
>>/
>>15374>
>>www.pillsburylaw.com
>>
>>
>>
```

of this message, together with any attachments, are intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which they are addressed and may contain information that is legally privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message, or any attachment, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the original sender or the Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman Help Desk at Tel: 800-477-0770 message, along with any attachments, from your computer. Thank you.
>>=========
>
>This e-mail was sent using Mail.md
•
•
>
•
>
•
>

EXHIBIT C

28

2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 No. C 07-03766 JW SOREN ANDERSEN, Plaintiff(s), 8 CLERK'S NOTICE VACATING CASE 9 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE ٧. 10 MAIA YOUNG, ET AL, Defendant(s). 11 12 13 TO ALL PARTIES AND COUNSEL OF RECORD: 14 15 YOU ARE NOTIFIED THAT the Court currently has Defendants' Motion to Dismiss on calendar 16 for November 19th at 9:00 AM. The Court vacates the October 29, 2007 Case Management 17 Conference pending the Court's ruling on the Motion. The Court will set a Further Case 18 Management date if necessary. 19 Dated: September 6, 2007 20 FOR THE COURT, Richard W. Wieking, Clerk 21 22 by: 23 Courtroom Deputy 24 25 26 27

EXHIBIT D

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

REN ANDERSEN					
	Dla:-+:450/a)	CASE NO. C 07-0376	6 JW		
	Plaintiff(s),		•		
ν.		NOTICE OF NEED CONFERENCE	FOR ADR PHONE		
ia Young, Anford University, D	OOES1-100	* 1			
	Defendant(s).				
Counsel repor	rt that they have met and con	ferred regarding ADR	and that they:		
✓ have r	not yet reached an agreement to an ADR process				
√ reques	t an Early Settlement Confer	ence with a Magistrate	Judge		
Date of Case	Management Conference 11/	19/2007			
The following counse	el will participate in the ADF	phone conference:			
Name	Party Representing	Phone No.	E-Mail Address		
SOREN ANDERSEN	PRO SE	(650) 452-6180	CALI@MAIL.MD		
Sarah Flanagan Defendants) 983-1190 sarah.flanag	an@pillsburylaw.com		
telephone conference	8 and ADR Local Rule 3-5 re with a member of the ADR R Unit (adr@cand.uscourts.s	Legal Staff before the	Case Management		
phone conference.					
Dated: 09/25/2007		S <u>es v</u> Atto	en Andorsen. ney for Plaintiff		

^{*} As set forth in defendants' Request to Defer ADR Phone Conference, defendants respectfully request that an ADR Phone Conference be deferred until after defendants' motion to dismiss has been decided. A hearing on defendants' motion to dismiss is scheduled for November 19, 2007. On September 6, 2007, the Court vacated the CMC pending a ruling on defendants' motion.